

My family and I are shocked that Sinclair is taking advantage of airing an anti-Kerry program so close to election day. We would feel the same were it an anti-Bush program. How can a "respectable" organization such as Sinclair dare to intrude on the conscience of American voters in an attempt to affect the outcome of this very important election??

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.